

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,050	08/17/2005	Sven-Erik Behrens	P51375	8497
20462 7590 05/21/2007 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
	P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT '	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1648	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

î		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,050	BEHRENS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stacy B. Chen	1648			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> □	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)∟	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-15,18,19,41 and 42 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-15, 18, 19, 41, 42 are subject to residue to the subject to	vn from consideration.	ent.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	f.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	•	•			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's preliminary amendment filed March 11, 2005 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1-15, 18, 19, 41 and 42 are pending and subject to the following restriction.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Claims 1-3, 14, 15, 18 and 19 link(s) inventions I-IV. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-3, 14, 15, 18 and 19. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Group I, claim(s) 4-8, the special technical feature is a method for modulating viral RNA replication and translation by contacting a viral RNA-binding protein with a compound that modulates the formation of a viral:cellular ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex.

Art Unit: 1648

Group II, claim(s) 9-11, the special technical feature is a method for modulating viral RNA replication and translation by contacting a viral RNA-binding protein (vRbp) with a compound that modulates viral circularization.

Group III, claim(s) 12, the special technical feature is a method for modulating viral RNA replication and translation by contacting a viral RNA-binding protein with a compound that modulates an increase in translation frameshifting that results in decreased viral replication.

Group IV, claim(s) 13, the special technical feature is a method for modulating viral RNA replication and translation by contacting a viral RNA-binding protein with a compound that modulates the formation of vRbp:PKR (double stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase) interaction.

Group V, claim(s) 41, the special technical feature is a method for modulating the function of a viral 3'UTR by contacting the 3'UTR with a compound that modulates the structure of the 3'UTR.

Group VI, claim(s) 42, the special technical feature is a method for screening to identify compounds that activate or inhibit the function of vRbp.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/532,050

Art Unit: 1648

The asserted special technical feature of Groups I-IV is a method of modulating viral RNA replication and translation in a eukaryotic cell of a positive-strand viral RNA, comprising contacting a vRbp with a compound that modulates an activity of the vRbp. Cui et al. (Virology, 1998, 246:409-417) discloses that recombinant Dengue Virus Type 1 NS3 protein exhibits specific viral RNA binding and NTPase activity regulated by the NS5 protein (abstract). Using binding competitors (compounds that modulate activity of the viral binding protein NS3), Cui et al. demonstrate that Den-1 NS3 protein exhibits preferential binding to a 94-nt RNA transcript from the 3'non-coding region of the dengue virus (abstract). Cui et al. discloses that the NTPase activity of the NS3 protein is regulated by viral RNA polymerase, and that NS3 is a target for therapeutic intervention in flavivirus infection (page 415, end of first column bridging to second column). Although Cui et al. does not perform the competitive assays in eukaryotic cells, it would have been obvious to test for competitive binding in vitro in eukaryotic cells. Given the suggestion of Cui et al. to target the NS3 in flavivirus infection, one would have been motivated to confirm the NS3 viral binding protein activity in eukaryotic cells since Dengue infects eukaryotic cells. Therefore, the asserted special technical feature of Groups I-IV is fairly suggested by the prior art, and the claims lack unity of invention. The special technical feature of Group V is a method that involves the modulation of the structure of the 3'UTR; this feature is not shared by any other Group (see claim 3). The special technical feature of Group VI is a method for screening to identify compounds that activate or inhibit the function of vRbp; this feature is not shared by any other Group.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/532,050

Art Unit: 1648

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/532,050 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

STACY B. CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER